

Haverford College

## Haverford Scholarship

---

Faculty Publications

Physics

---

2007

### Curvature fields, topology, and the dynamics of spatiotemporal chaos

Nicholas T. Ouellette

Jerry P. Gollub  
*Haverford College*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarship.haverford.edu/physics\\_facpubs](https://scholarship.haverford.edu/physics_facpubs)

---

#### Repository Citation

Curvature Fields, Topology, and the Dynamics of Spatiotemporal Chaos Nicholas T. Ouellette and J.P. Gollub Physical Review Letters 99, 194502 (2007)

This Journal Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Physics at Haverford Scholarship. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Haverford Scholarship. For more information, please contact [nmedeiro@haverford.edu](mailto:nmedeiro@haverford.edu).

# Curvature Fields, Topology, and the Dynamics of Spatiotemporal Chaos

Nicholas T. Ouellette<sup>1</sup> and J. P. Gollub<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania 19041, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA*

(Received 12 June 2007; published 9 November 2007)

The curvature field is measured from tracer-particle trajectories in a two-dimensional fluid flow that exhibits spatiotemporal chaos and is used to extract the hyperbolic and elliptic points of the flow. These special points are pinned to the forcing when the driving is weak, but wander over the domain and interact in pairs at stronger driving, changing the local topology of the flow. Their behavior reveals a two-stage transition to spatiotemporal chaos: a gradual loss of spatial and temporal order followed by an abrupt onset of topological changes.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.194502](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.194502)

PACS numbers: 47.52.+j, 05.45.-a, 47.20.Ky

When a system governed by nonlinear equations of motion is driven out of equilibrium, a variety of complex behaviors can result, ranging from chaos in low-dimensional systems [1] to the seemingly random dynamics of turbulent flows [2]. If the number of active degrees of freedom (roughly corresponding to the number of equations required to characterize the dynamics) is small, the mathematics of dynamical systems has proved to be a powerful tool. When it is quasi-infinite and the dynamics are turbulent, statistical approaches based on assumed scale invariance have been fruitful. For spatially-extended systems below the turbulence transition, however, a regime exists that is disordered both in space and in time [3–9]. This regime of spatiotemporal chaos (STC) remains poorly characterized and understood; indeed, there is no generally agreed-upon quantitative indicator of STC.

In this Letter, we study the dynamics of a simple fluid flow that exhibits STC by considering its underlying topology. We describe a method for locating the time-dependent topologically special points of the flow and show that their dynamics describe the flow pattern as a whole. These special points undergo pairwise interactions, changing the flow topology when they are created or annihilated. Surprisingly, we find that the rate of creation or annihilation shows a discrete onset, while other measures of spatial and temporal disorder increase smoothly with the rate of energy input. This form of STC is characterized not only by disorder but also by constantly changing flow topology.

In a driven body of fluid, there can exist instantaneous stagnation points where the velocity vanishes, relative to some observer. These special points carry the bulk of the information contained in the flow field: if the locations of all of these topologically special points and their local flow properties are known, most of the full flow field can be determined [10]. They are also an essential component of chaotic mixing [11]. These points are distinct from the topological defects previously considered in studies of STC [12–14], as they are present even when the flow is

not chaotic. In a two-dimensional (2D) flow field, the special points come in two types. When embedded in a region of the flow that is dominated by vorticity, they are elliptic; in a strain-dominated region, they are hyperbolic (i.e., saddlelike). These special points, however, have proved to be very difficult to identify, particularly in experimental flows. In this Letter, we show that by considering the curvature of Lagrangian trajectories, that is, the trajectories of individual moving fluid elements, we can find the elliptic and hyperbolic points in an automated way, even when they move. Once located, the trajectories and statistics of the special points give insight into the transition to and dynamics of STC.

We generate a quasi-2D flow using magnetohydrodynamic forcing in a thin layer of conducting fluid, as described previously [15,16]. A 6 mm layer of water containing 8% by weight of CuSO<sub>4</sub> was placed above a square lattice of permanent magnets with alternating orientation. When a current is driven across the cell, Lorentz forces set the fluid into motion. The dimensionless strength of the forcing is measured by the Reynolds number  $Re = UL/\nu$ , where  $U$  is the root-mean-square velocity,  $L = 2$  cm is the mean magnet spacing, and  $\nu$  is the kinematic viscosity. At low  $Re$ , the flow is a square array of vortices of alternating sign, as shown in Fig. 1. As  $Re$  grows, however, the flow deviates from the forced lattice and becomes spatiotemporally chaotic. To measure the flow, we follow the simultaneous trajectories of thousands of neutrally-buoyant 116  $\mu\text{m}$  fluorescent polystyrene tracer particles, using algorithms similar to those described by Ouellette *et al.* [17]. The particles are imaged at a rate of 12 Hz, and their positions are determined to a precision of 25  $\mu\text{m}$  (0.1 pixels). The velocities and accelerations of the particles are then computed by fitting polynomials to short segments of the trajectories [18]. Statistics are collected in a 10 cm  $\times$  10 cm window in the center of the flow so that boundary effects are excluded.

To find the elliptic and hyperbolic points, we first compute the curvature along the trajectories of the tracer particles. Curvature, a geometrical quantity containing, in




FIG. 1 (color online). Velocity, vorticity, and curvature fields for  $Re = 32$  (a, d),  $93$  (b, e), and  $245$  (c, f). In (a–c), velocity vectors are shown as arrows, undersampled by a factor of 8 for clarity. The vorticity is shown by shading: light gray (red online) corresponds to large negative vorticity (clockwise rotation), and black (blue online) to large positive vorticity (counterclockwise rotation). As  $Re$  increases, the flow becomes more disordered. (d–f) show the logarithm of the curvature; black lines (blue online) corresponds to small values, while gray points (red online) are larger values. Low values of curvature typically form lines, while the highest values appear as points.

principle, no dynamical information, completely specifies a curve in 2D space. Because of the nature of our measurement technique, however, the trajectories are parameterized by time. In this case, the Frenet formulas show that the curvature is given by  $\kappa = a_n/u^2$ , where  $a_n$  is the acceleration normal to the direction of motion and  $u$  is the velocity of the particle. The single-point statistics of curvature have previously been studied in turbulent flow [19,20], but were found to be explainable with a simple model that should also apply to nonturbulent flows. In our flow, we measure curvature probability density functions (PDFs) that are consistent with the model proposed by Xu *et al.* [20].

Instead of focusing on such single-point curvature statistics, we consider curvature fields, analogous to velocity or vorticity fields. Sample curvature fields for the steady and STC regimes are shown in Fig. 1, and striking structure is evident. As shown previously in studies of turbulence, the distribution of curvature is exceptionally wide [19,20]. What was not observed in previous studies, however, is the tendency of low values of curvature to be spatially organized into lines, while high values exist as solitary points. Comparing the vorticity and curvature fields in Fig. 1, we see that these high-curvature points correspond to the hyperbolic and elliptic points of the flow. This observation has a clear physical interpretation: near both hyperbolic and elliptic points, the direction of fluid particle trajectory

changes over very short length scales, corresponding to intense curvature. By locating the local maxima (with values larger than the mean) of the curvature field, we can therefore find the topologically special points of the flow. To classify them as elliptic or hyperbolic, we make use of the Okubo-Weiss parameter  $\Lambda = (\omega^2 - s^2)/4$ , where  $\omega^2$  is the enstrophy and  $s^2$  is the square of the strain rate [21,22]. If a curvature maximum lies in a region with  $\Lambda > 0$ , where rotation dominates the flow, we classify it as elliptic; if  $\Lambda < 0$ , the local flow is dominated by strain, and we classify the point as hyperbolic.

Once we have located the hyperbolic and elliptic points at each instant in time, we can feed their positions into the same tracking algorithms we use to construct the tracer-particle trajectories, and thereby study their dynamics. At low  $Re$ , where the underlying flow is a vortex array locked to the magnetic forcing, the hyperbolic and elliptic points lie on a square lattice, as shown in Fig. 2, with the elliptic points in the vortex centers and the hyperbolic points at the vortex corners. As  $Re$  is increased, the special points wander progressively farther from their lattice sites. We observe that the elliptic points move in quasicircular orbits, while the hyperbolic points move primarily along their stable manifolds. Finally, when  $Re$  is high enough, the special points break free from the lattice and wander freely; in a sense, the lattice melts. We note that the dynamics of the hyperbolic and elliptic points are repre-




FIG. 2 (color online). Trajectories of the topologically special points for the three Reynolds numbers in Fig. 1. Hyperbolic points are plotted in gray (red online), while elliptic points are in black (blue online). At  $Re = 32$  (a), the special points are tightly bound to the forced vortex lattice. At  $Re = 93$  (b), they remain bound but make larger excursions. At  $Re = 245$  (c), where the flow is spatiotemporally chaotic, the special points wander over the domain.

sentative of the dynamics of the flow pattern, rather than of the tracer particles themselves; the individual tracer particles may wander between the vortex cells even in the regime where the special points remain pinned.

To elucidate the transition between the ordered and disordered states of the topologically special points, we show in Fig. 3 the radial distribution function  $g(r)$  [23] of the special point positions for three Reynolds numbers.  $g(r)$  is defined to be the mean number density at distance  $r$  from a fixed position, normalized by the bulk number density. In an ordered state,  $g(r)$  is expected to show a series of peaks corresponding to lattice sites; in a disordered state, however,  $g(r)$  should be unity. At  $Re = 32$ , when the special points are tightly bound to their lattice sites,  $g(r)$  is found to be peaked at many of the locations expected for a 2D square lattice. As  $Re$  increases, the peaks




FIG. 3 (color online). The radial distribution function  $g(r)$  of the topologically special points, shown for  $Re = 32$  ( $\square$ ),  $93$  ( $\circ$ ), and  $245$  ( $\triangle$ ). The separation  $r$  is scaled by the mean magnet spacing  $L$ . Dashed vertical lines show the positions of some of the peaks expected for a 2D square lattice (other peaks are not observed due to the finite resolution). As  $Re$  increases, the spatial order vanishes and  $g(r)$  becomes liquidlike.

broaden and  $g(r)$  gradually loses structure. By  $Re = 245$ , where the special points move freely and the spatial order has vanished,  $g(r)$  is unity and the special points form a liquidlike state. To quantify the loss of order, we plot the maximum value of  $g(r)$  (corresponding to the height of its first peak) as a function of  $Re$  in Fig. 4.

Once the topologically special points can move appreciably around their lattice sites, they undergo pairwise interactions that change the topology of the flow. They can be annihilated in vortex mergers, and new hyperbolic-elliptic pairs are created when vortices split. By recording the number of such events from our special point trajectories, we can measure the rates for each of these processes. The annihilation rate is shown as a function of  $Re$  in Fig. 4; we find that the creation and annihilation rates are equal to within experimental accuracy. As expected, these rates grow substantially as the driving increases. Surprisingly, however, these rates have a clear onset at a critical  $Re$ , unlike the gradual decline of  $g(r)$ . We have also measured




FIG. 4 (color online). The maximum value of  $g(r)$  ( $\square$ , left axis), showing a gradual transition to spatial disorder with increasing  $Re$ , and the annihilation rate ( $\circ$ , right axis) of hyperbolic-elliptic pairs, which shows a well-defined threshold. The solid lines are drawn to guide the eye.






FIG. 5 (color online). PDFs of the number  $N$  of topologically special points present in the measurement area at each instant in time, normalized by the number of lattice sites, for four Reynolds numbers. As  $Re$  increases, the mean number of special points decreases, while the width of the distribution grows.

other indicators of disorder, including the average of the local speed  $\langle \partial u / \partial t \rangle$  and the mean of the largest Lyapunov exponent  $\langle \lambda \rangle$ . As with  $g(r)$ , however, these measurements show a continuous change rather than a sharp onset. The lack of a clear threshold in these measurements may be attributed to the averaging of  $g(r)$ ,  $\langle \partial u / \partial t \rangle$ , and  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  over space, time, or both. In contrast, the creation and annihilation of hyperbolic and elliptic point pairs are local in both space and time.

The number of special points in the measurement volume changes in tandem with the rise of the pair creation and annihilation rates. In Fig. 5, we show the PDFs of the number of special points at each instant in time for several Reynolds numbers. As  $Re$  increases, the pattern coarsens and the mean number drops, consistent with the well-known inverse energy cascade in 2D turbulence [24]. At the same time, the width of the distribution grows, which signals the increased activity of the special points and the corresponding increase in the frequency of topological changes.

In summary, we have developed a method to locate the moving hyperbolic and elliptic points experimentally by measuring the curvature of particle trajectories and have used them to characterize the dynamics of a 2D flow. As  $Re$  is increased, these points gradually unbind from their preferred locations (determined by the forcing), deforming the entire flow pattern. When they are created or annihilated in pairs, starting at  $Re \sim 75$ , they change the topology of the pattern. The behavior of these points shows that the transition to STC involves two successive stages: a gradual

loss of spatial and temporal order followed by a surprising abrupt onset of topological changes. We suggest that new theories of STC may be developed using these topological ideas.

We thank D. Egolf, P. Love, H. Riecke, and G. Voth for helpful discussions. This research was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-0405187.

\*jgollub@haverford.edu

- [1] E. Ott, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 655 (1981).
- [2] G. Falkovich, K. Gawędzki, and M. Vergassola, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 913 (2001).
- [3] S. Ciliberto and P. Bigazzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 286 (1988).
- [4] M. Rabaud, S. Michalland, and Y. Couder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 184 (1990).
- [5] B. I. Shraiman *et al.*, Physica D (Amsterdam) **57**, 241 (1992).
- [6] M. C. Cross and P. C. Hohenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **65**, 851 (1993).
- [7] M. Dennin, G. Ahlers, and D. S. Cannell, Science **272**, 388 (1996).
- [8] J. P. Gollub and J. S. Langer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, S396 (1999).
- [9] D. A. Egolf *et al.*, Nature (London) **404**, 733 (2000).
- [10] A. E. Perry and M. S. Chong, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **19**, 125 (1987).
- [11] S. C. Jana and J. M. Ottino, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A **338**, 519 (1992).
- [12] D. A. Egolf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4120 (1998).
- [13] K. E. Daniels and E. Bodenschatz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 034501 (2002).
- [14] Y.-N. Young and H. Riecke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 134502 (2003).
- [15] D. Rothstein, E. Henry, and J. P. Gollub, Nature (London) **401**, 770 (1999).
- [16] J. Paret *et al.*, Phys. Fluids **9**, 3102 (1997).
- [17] N. T. Ouellette, H. Xu, and E. Bodenschatz, Exp. Fluids **40**, 301 (2006).
- [18] G. A. Voth, G. Haller, and J. P. Gollub, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 254501 (2002).
- [19] W. Braun, F. De Lillo, and B. Eckhardt, J. Turbul. **7**, 1 (2006).
- [20] H. Xu, N. T. Ouellette, and E. Bodenschatz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 050201 (2007).
- [21] A. Babiano *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5764 (2000).
- [22] M. Rivera, X.-L. Wu, and C. Yeung, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 044501 (2001).
- [23] Also known as the pair correlation function; for details, see, e.g., P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky, *Principles of Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2000).
- [24] R. H. Kraichnan, Phys. Fluids **10**, 1417 (1967).